

15TH ITU ACADEMIC CONFERENCE

ITUKALEIDOSCOPE

NEW DELHI 2024

*Innovation and digital transformation
for a sustainable world*

21-23 October 2024
New Delhi, India

**Conference
Awareness Workshop**

19 April 2024



ITU Kaleidoscope 2024 Conference Awareness Workshop

Is there life after critical
comments?

Vishnu

Agenda

1. Myth-1: Judges are bad (and they eat authors)
2. Myth-2: GPT will save me
3. Myth-3: Oh come—on, its just 6000 CHF

Myth-1: Judges & reviewers are bad

- Title
- Abstract
- Authors
- Abstract
- Introduction
- Problem Statement
- Existing Solutions
- Proposed Solution
- Comparison
- Future work
- Conclusion

Ten simple rules for writing a response to reviewers [3]

- ❖ **Rule 1:** Provide an overview, then quote the full set of reviews
- ❖ **Rule 2:** Be polite and respectful of all reviewers
- ❖ **Rule 3:** Accept the blame
- ❖ **Rule 4:** Make the response self-contained
- ❖ **Rule 5:** Respond to every point raised by the reviewer
- ❖ **Rule 6:** Use typography to help the reviewer navigate your response
- ❖ **Rule 7:** Whenever possible, begin your response to each comment with a direct answer to the point being raised
- ❖ **Rule 8:** When possible, do what the reviewer asks
- ❖ **Rule 9:** Be clear about what changed relative to the previous version
- ❖ **Rule 10:** If necessary, write the response twice

From FGAN-I-283



Myth-1: Judges & reviewers are bad

Re: Response to reviewers

Dear Editor,

Thank you for reviewing and allowing a resubmission of our manuscript, with an opportunity to address the reviewers' comments. We also greatly appreciate the reviewers for their complimentary comments and suggestions. We have carried out the experiments and modifications that the reviewers suggested and revised the manuscript accordingly

We are uploading (a) our point-by-point response to the comments (below) (response to reviewers), (b) an updated manuscript with red font indicating changes, and (c) a clean updated manuscript without tracking of changes.

We sincerely hope that this improved manuscript is acceptable for publication.

Best regards,

From FGAN-I-283

19 April 2024

ITU Kaleidoscope 2024 - Awareness Workshop

Hotpot.ai

Myth-1: Judges & reviewers are bad

Reviewer#1, Comments 1-The paper presents seven use cases for Autonomous Networks closed loops. Each use case focus on specific problems of AN closed loops (traceability of changes, slip detection and so on) and propose technical solutions to these problems. Despite technical soundness of the solutions, the main drawback of the paper is organization. I think that organization could be improved, if the introduction (Section 1) covers the main problems that will be solved by the use cases. Figure 1 could be explained further, also. The numbers, flows, and components in the Figure aren't directly clear. If these are better explained, it will improve readability of the other sections. Moreover, in this introduction the use cases could be categorized. It seems that some use cases covers solutions to support operation of closed-loop controllers (e.g., Use Case 3) whereas other use cases develop a closed-loop controller to an specific problem (e.g., Use Case 4). You could also provide a relationship between the presented use cases and the ones described in [4].

Other general aspect to consider is about the figures showing the graphs of each use case. These graphs use small font size and the organization is not clear. Please check these graphs.

Responds- Thank you for the valuable feedback and suggestions. We have addressed the problems and made the necessary major and minor adjustments as you suggested in your subsequent comments as follows

From FGAN-I-283

Myth-2: I will just put the comments into GPT

- Human effort + Internet search + AI + editing tools = ok
- No human effort or only high level prompt = not ok
- How do reviewers figure it out? Its pretty simple – no original content = all AI

Pro-tip: use AI just like you would use a tool, to enhance the output that you got through original ingenuity.



Myth-3: 6000 CHF is sour?

- Take the stage during WTSA
- Have a shot at the young authors prize
- Network with peers, and the best
- Get into the pub pipeline
- Future career and research opportunities

Pro-tip: its not the money, stupid !!

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/It%27s_the_economy,_stupid



Pro-tip: Hold-hands

- TSDSI is an organizational partner for ITU-T Kaleidoscope.
- TSDSI Secretariat engages with members for bringing in contributions to pre-standards FG (focus groups) and standards (Study Groups) and Kaleidoscope papers.
- Contribution planning is under discussion for several standard and pre-standard activities.
- ITU NoW [Network of Women] activities are planned along with WTSA
- TSDSI members submitted entries and usecases for AI for Good calls.
- NOTE – if you are travelling to ITU meetings via TSDSI registration, Visa Support letters coordination and follow up is extended to TSDSI members.
- **WhatsApp Group** is created for timely updates, agenda details, and other valuable information related to the ITU-T meetings. The group link is given below. Kindly join.
- **ITU-T Meeting Announcement Group** - <https://chat.whatsapp.com/CKrypatj7KS1KBulq35FRM>

Thank You

Vishnu@tsdsi.in